Predicate-Centered Focus in Gamo

DAAD Servicio Alemán de Intercambio Académico

Henok Wondimu

Institute for Asian and African Studies Advisor: Prof. Dr. Tom Güldemann



Dissertation Project: Information Structure in Gamo

The aim of this project is to describe the information structure (IS) of Gamo, a little-documented language of the Omotic family which is spoken in Southwestern Ethiopia. The project combines descriptive and (synchronic) comparative methods for a multi-dimensional understanding of the language's information structure. The descriptive part will focus on describing how the language encodes basic information-structural notions such as topic, focus and theticity. The comparative section will look at data from genealogically related and geographically close languages to see whether language contact has left any structural traces on the IS encoding strategies of the language.





Information Structure and Predicate-Centered Focus

Prince (1981: 224) defines IS as "the tailoring of an utterance by a sender to meet the particular assumed needs of the intended receiver," thus reflecting "the sender's hypotheses about the receiver's assumptions and beliefs and strategies". 6) IS has been widely associated with the binary partitioning of a sentence into topic-focus, given-new, asserted-presupposed etc. Focus, which is the concern of this poster, is defined as "that information which is relatively the most important or salient in the given communicative setting, and considered by S [speaker] to be most essential for A [addressee] to integrate into his pragmatic Clefts information" (Dik 1997: 326). Predicate-centered focus, as its name implies, is one of the subtypes of focus, where the scope is over the predicate of an utterance or part of it. It may be associated with focus on operators like TAM and polarity, or with focus on the lexical meaning of the verb.

Predicate-centered focus

State of affairs (SoA)

operator

Truth value (=polarity)

TAM

{What did the princes Do with the frog?} a. She KISSED him.

{I cannot imagine the princess kissed the slippery frog.}

Is the princess {kissing the frog (right now)}

c. She HAS kissed him.

b. Yes, she DID kiss him. Basic subclassification of predicate-centered focus types (Güldemann 2009)

General Information on Gamo

➤ ISO 639-3

(Lewis 2014)

- ➤ Classification: Afro-Asiatic > Omotic > Ometo > Northern Ometo > Gamo (Fleming: 1976 and Bender: 2000)
- > Spoken in Southwestern Ethiopia
- ➤ More than one million speakers (source)
- > SOV
- ➤ Head final language
- > Subjects trigger agreement but not objects
- > Verbs inflect for person, number, gender, aspect and sentence type Stem-Subject agreement-(Aspect)-(Subject agreement)
- > The agreement markers show variation depending the tense and mood of the verb
- > Has converbs and switch reference forms
- s'ilo-z-a eridi simm-i-d-e-s 1) maro-z-i wizard-DEF-NOM truth-DEF-ACC know.SS.CONV return-3M-PERF-3M-DECL Having made out the truth, the wizard returned. (Hompó 1990: 390)

Predicate-Centered Focus Type in Gamo

I. Unmarked sentences

Plain assertions are not marked. Rather they are expressed by the canonical sentence structure.

- 1) SoA focus
 - adde-i k'ans'-ee-s bees'e-ra mitts-a man-NOM axe-COM tree-ACC cut-3M-DECL {What is the man doing to the tree with the axe?} The man CUTS (a) tree with the axe.

Truth value focus

gupp-ee-s jump-3M-DECL

{The man jumps} yes, he JUMPS.

[field note]

3) TAM focus

bro b-ee-s

yet go-3M-DECL

{Did Taye go to the market?} No, he WILL go.

[field note]

II. Marked Constructions

The Morpheme -kko

The morpheme -kko is a polyfunctional morpheme in Gamo. It serves as a copula in non-verbal clauses (4), identificational marker (5) and focus marker (7). It marks TAM focus when it is attached to a finite verb. The structure of the verb looks like: stem-SUBJ.AGR-PERF-SUBJ.AGR-DECL-**kko**

4) As A copula

zallanča-i asi**-kko** gene merchant-NOM cunning man-COP Merchants are cunning people.

(Hompó 1990: 390)

Identificational marker na?a-z-a-kko

boy-DEF-ACC-ID

{Who is running?} It is the boy.

[field note]

[field note]

TAM focus

pitta gettettida-iss-a pitt-a-d-i-s-kko

wipe say.REL.PASS.PERF-NOML-ACC wipe-3M-PERF-3M-DECL-FOC [field note]

I HAVE cleaned what I was told to clean.

Clefts are mainly used to mark term focus, but in some instances, they mark truth value focus. Although one needs the context in order to see what differentiates the two constructions, the difference to some extent seems to rest on the discourse status of the noun to which the morpheme -kko is attached. In term focus constructions -kko is attached to the citation form of the noun while for truth-value focus it is attached to a case-marked nouns which in turn entails definiteness. The term-focus reading of the cleft simply selects one referent out of many, to signal that referent exhaustively performed the action expressed by the backgrounded clause. However, in case of truth-value focus the reading is like 'It IS X who did Y' and it gives a confirmation about the identity of the performer of the action.

7) Truth-value focus

akkay, lepa ušša uuyaada mac'c'asi-yo-kko de?iza-i soft drink drink.CONV.PERF exist.REL.IPFV-NOM woman-NOM-TV {The woman is not drinking a soft drink} No, It IS the woman (who) drinks the soft drink. [field note]

Verb Doubling

This is a construction in which the gerundive form of the verb appears as a double before the main verb. It serves as a topic in the sense of 'As for ver, he is verb-ing.' The construction is used to mark both SoA and truth-value focus.

8) SoA focus

hamet-u-kk-u. Wos'o wos'-au-s. go-3F-NEG-3F run.GER run-3F-DECL

{The girl is walking.} She is not walking. She is RUNNING.

[field note] [Lit: She RUNS RUNNING]

9) Truth value focus

ičč-i-d-e-s. iččečči

sleep.GER sleep-3M-PERF-3M-DECL

{He is sleeping, Isn't he?} Yes, as for sleeping, he IS SLEEPING.

[Lit: Sleeping he slept]

Conclusion

> Tendency: plain assertion is unmarked, contrast is marked.

 \triangleright The morpheme –*kko* is polyfunctional; its function as a truth-value focus marker seems to be derivable from its function as identification via grammaticalization.

➤ Verb doubling is used for SoA and truth-value focus



Literature

Bender, M. Lionel. 2000. Comparative morphology of the Omotic languages. Munchen:Lincom Europa. Dik, Simon C. 1997. The Theory of Functional Grammar, Part 1: The Structure of the Clause, Berlin/New York: Mouton de Gruyter. Fleming, Harold C. 1976. Cushitic and Omotic. In M. Lionel Bender, J. Donald Bowen, Robert Cooper & Charles Ferguson (eds.), Language in Ethiopia, 34-52. London: Oxford University Press. Güldemann, Tom. 2009. Predicate-centered focus types: A sample-based typological study in African languages. Application for project B7 in CRC 632 Information structure. Hompó, Éva. 1990. Grammatical Relations in Gamo: A Pilot Sketch. In: Richard J. Hayward (ed.), Omotic Language Studies, 356-405. London: School of Oriental and African Studies. Lewis, M. Paul, Gary F. simons, and Charles D. Fenning (eds.). 2014. Ethnologue: Languages of the World, Seventeenth edition. Dallas, Texas: SIL International. Online version: http://www.ethnologue.com. Prince, Ellen.1981. Toward a taxonomy of given-new information. In P. Cole (ed), Radical pragmatics. New York/London: Academic Press.

ACC accusative, CONV converb, COM comitative, COP copula, DEF definite, DECL declarative, Ffeminine, FOC focus, GER gerund, ID identification, IPFV imperfective, M masculine, NEG negative, NOM nominative, NOML nominalization, PASS passive, PERF perfect, REL relative ,TV truth value