

A Dynamic Annotation of the Wall Street Journal Sections of the Penn Treebank



Valia Kordoni & Yi Zhang

LT-Lab, German Research Center for Artificial Intelligence (DFKI GmbH) & Dept. of Computational Linguistics, Saarland University, Germany
[kordoni,yzhang}@coli.uni-sb.de](mailto:{kordoni,yzhang}@coli.uni-sb.de)

Introduction


- An on-going project to annotate texts from WSJ sections of PTB (~1M tokens, ~43K utterances) with HPSG analyses
- Independent annotation from PTB by parsing with ERG and manual disambiguation of the outputs
- Annotation only for sentences covered by the grammar
- Different to conversion-based development of treebanks in rich formalisms (Cahill et al. 2002, Miyao et al. 2004, Hockenmaier 2006, etc)
- ≈ dynamic treebank (Oepen et al. 2002)

HPSG Parsing

- English Resource Grammar (Flickinger 2002)
- PET Parser (Callmeier 2001)
- [incr tsdb()] (Oepen & Callmeier 2000)
- Preprocessing (Adolphs et al. 2008)
- Robust Parsing * (Zhang et al. 2008)

Treebanking

- For each tree, up to 500 candidates (ranked by a statistical disambiguation model) are recorded
- Discriminant-based treebanking decisions
 - Yes/No choice for candidate discriminants
 - For n trees, on average need $\log_2 n$ steps to fully disambiguate



Annotation Cycle

Grammar & Treebank Update



- Update of the disambiguation model: newly annotated sections are used to train better parse selection models that help annotators to find the best tree more quickly
- Update of the Grammar: grammar writer receives feedback from annotators and fixes systematic errors or improves coverage
- Update of the annotation: existing annotations will be semi-automatically synchronized with the new versions of the grammar, with some extra manual annotation required

Quality Assurance

- Multiple annotators with partially overlapping assignments. Inter-annotator agreement is checked regularly
- Regular treebankers' meetings to discuss issues in treebanking and annotation strategies to achieve higher inter-annotator agreement

Some Facts in Numbers

- Number of annotators: 3
- Grammar parsing coverage: ~80%
- Average annotation speed: 35~40 sentences/hour
- Expected duration of the project: 15~18 months
- Inter-annotator agreement: exact match agreement of ~50%



References

- Stephan Oepen, Kristina Toutanova, Stuart Shieber, Christopher Manning, Dan Flickinger, and Thorsten Brants. 2002. The LinGO Redwoods treebank: motivation and preliminary applications. In *Proceedings of COLING 2002*. Taipei, Taiwan.
- Dan Flickinger. 2002. On building a more efficient grammar by exploiting types. In *Collaborative Language Engineering*, pages 1–17. CSLI Publications.
- Yi Zhang and Valia Kordoni. 2008. Robust Parsing with a Large HPSG Grammar. In *Proceedings of LREC 2008*, Marrakesh, Morocco.
- Ulrich Callmeier. 2001. *Efficient parsing with large-scale unification grammars*. Master's thesis, Saarland University, Saarbruecken, Germany.
- Stephan Oepen and Ulrich Callmeier. 2000. Measure for Measure: Parser Cross-Fertilization. Towards Increased Component Comparability and Exchange. In *Proceedings of the 6th International Workshop on Parsing Technology*, Trento, Italy.
- Peter Adolphs, Stephan Oepen, Ulrich Callmeier, Berthold Crysmann, Daniel Flickinger, Bernd Kiefer. 2008. Some Fine Points of Hybrid Natural Language Parsing. In *Proceedings of LREC 2008*, Marrakesh, Morocco.